

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Michael M. Ramarge	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4733	
09/940,539	(08/29/2001		08215-467001		
26171	7590	11/08/2002				
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				EXAMINER		
1425 K STR 11TH FLOC	R		EASTHOM, KARL D			
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2832	2832	
				DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	
- 1	



Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/940,539**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Karl Easthom

Art Unit 2832

Ramarge et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
	for Reply		
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
mailing If the part of the par	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will b and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.5	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance colosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u>	is/are	e pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>28-54</u>	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	W	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	$(a) \square$ accepted or $(b)\square$ objects	ed to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌 approved	b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
*S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n this National Stage
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(e).
_	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisions		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachm	•		
1) 💢 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)
2) N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/940539

Art Unit: 2832

....

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-27 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kester et al.

 The claimed invention is disclosed at Figs. 9, and 11 with bonded stack 12, 18, 12, and reinforcing structure 126. In claims 25 and 26, the matrix is circumferentially and vertically applied since it circles the device and extends from end to end. Or the fibrous tapes 24 9 (vertical) or 28(vertical and circumferential) are preimpregnated with resins 22 or 25-27. See col. 6, lines 34-50, disclosing the preimpregnation of the fibrous tapes.
- 3. Claims 1-13, 16-19, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmidt et al. Schmidt et al. discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 1, with "at least one" MOV disk meeting claims 1 and 22, and reinforcing structure 1 having random glass fibers, meeting claim 7, or impregnated tape, cols. 3-4, lines 59-15, meeting claims 5-9. In claim 6, some of the fibers are oriented to at least one of the axis of the element. The claim uses "comprises" so that all fibers need not be so oriented. In claims 12 and 17, the matrix is wound so that the tape has a circumferential component and a vertical component. In claims 18-19, the fiber matrix 1 is vertical and circumferential since it has the same shape as the stack.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kester et al. Kester discloses the claimed essentially invention as noted above, where here, the array meets the limitation of a monolithic disk having ends in contact with two terminals accessible from an exterior of the apparatus (where it is

Application/Control Number: 09/940539

Art Unit: 2832

assumed here that the claim requires one and only one MOV disk). That is, the electrical apparatus is disclosed at col. 4, lines 25-35 as "relat[ed] to the coating" and "not limited to any particular ... number". Consequently the number "one" is contemplated for the disks, where one of skill would contemplate using the coating on one disk, meeting the claim, since the number one is included in the three devices of fig. 1.

Page 3

As an alternative, Schmidt discloses using only one disk in a similar apparatus depicted as having three elements, disclosing explicitly "at least one block" at col. 1, lines 40-50, so that it would have been obvious to employ only one block especially where the invention of Kester et al. is directed to the coating. For claims 2-4, see col. 4, lines 15-20. For claims 5-9, col. 6, lines 34-50 disclose fibers in the tape as strands so that they have predetermined and uniform length less than the length of the tape, where the tape is substantially equal to the array length. In claim 7, the random fibers 126 meet the claim. In claim 12, the tape 28 is circumferential. In claims 18-19, see tape 24. In claim 15, the angle of tape 28 is "approximately" at 10 degrees where the term is broadly construed. Or, it the fibers are at about 3 degrees with respect to the tape, where there is no frame of reference.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthom whose telephone number is (703)308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad, can be reached on (703)308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-

Application/Control Number: 09/940539

Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KARL D. EASTHOM PRIMARY EXAMINER